



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/843,875 | | 04/30/2001 | Kazumi Tabuchi | 1152-0275P | 1199 |
| 2292 | 7590 | 05/18/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | r KOLASCH & BIR | PHAM, THIERRY L | | |
| PO BOX 74 FALLS CH | • | VA 22040-0747 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | - | | | 2625 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/843,875 | TABUCHI, KAZUMI | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Thierry L. Pham | 2625 | |

| 3 | LAGIIIIICI | Aitoiiit | |
|--|---|--|---|
| | Thierry L. Pham | 2625 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance | the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejecti | ion. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS | , | ,, 0, ,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | moliant Amendment | (PTOL_324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mphant Amendment | (FTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ll be entered and an o | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attac | hed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: See Continuation Sheet. | ered but does NOT place the appli | cation in condition for | allowance |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | | | |
| 13. Other: | dan | AVID MOORE | - |
| | D/ outsernaco | AVID MOORE | :r |

SUPERVISORY PAIENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented by the applicants are not persuasive and newly added limitations "obtaining an inactive time by comparing the read out completion time" found in claim 1 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The examiner also notes that Applicants are arguing subject matter not previously cited in claim 1..